

## REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 09 March 2000  
Name of applicant/court: The District Court at Auckland  
Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable  
Title of Publication: ababy11.jpg/ababy12.jpg  
Other Known Titles: Not Stated  
Director: Not Applicable  
Producer: Not Applicable  
Publisher: Not Applicable  
Author: Not Applicable  
Format: Computer Image File  
Country of Origin: Not Applicable  
Language: Not Applicable

.....

**Components of film originally examined:** Not Applicable.

Feature:	Running time:
Trailers:	Running time:
	Total Running time:

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

... Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

.....

**SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:**

The computer image file entitled *ababy11.jpg/ababy12.jpg* is classified as:  
Objectionable.

The publication consists of two computer image files each containing one colour photographic image. Each image consists of one half of a photograph. When viewed together, they constitute one whole image.

The publication explicitly depicts a naked pre-pubescent girl holding a child's doll and posing in a sexually provocative manner with her genitalia visible.

The computer image file promotes and supports the exploitation of children for sexual purposes by encouraging the viewer to be sexually aroused by female children who are implicitly presented as sexually desirable and available, as well as being viable and legitimate sexual partners.

The provisions of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 (NZBR Act) have been taken into account in determining this classification. As the publication falls under s3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993, it is deemed to be objectionable. An objectionable classification places a limit on s14 of the NZBR Act. However, in relation to this publication, it is considered to be a reasonable limit prescribed by law that can be demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society.

OFLC Ref: 9901989